




Q1 Please answer the following questions related to the value of the CDS/NACDEP conference to you as a professional using the following scale:

Answered: 105 Skipped: 2







	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Does Not Apply	Total
Informal networking with others from the Community Development Field	0.00% 0	2.04% 2	29.59% 29	67.35% 66	1.02% 1	98
Increased my understanding of issues related to to my work	1.03% 1	8.25% 8	55.67% 54	35.05% 34	0.00% 0	97
Increased my contacts for future collaborations	0.00% 0	7.07% 7	39.39% 39	52.53% 52	1.01% 1	99
Increased my awareness of programs related to my work	1.03% 1	11.34% 11	41.24% 40	45.36% 44	1.03% 1	97
Provided ideas on how to access resources related to my work	3.03% 3	14.14% 14	48.48% 48	33.33% 33	1.01% 1	99
Met my professional development needs	0.99% 1	10.89% 11	44.55% 45	40.59% 41	2.97% 3	101
This conference enhanced me both personally and professionally	1.04% 1	5.21% 5	41.67% 40	52.08% 50	0.00% 0	96
The submission and review process was efficient and effective	1.00% 1	7.00% 7	40.00% 40	24.00% 24	28.00% 28	100
The research presented was relevant to the profession	0.00% 0	6.93% 7	57.43% 58	35.64% 36	0.00% 0	101

Q2 Based on what you learned at this conference, what do you intend to do differently in the next 12 months?

Answered: 76 Skipped: 31


#	Responses	Date
1	potentially incorporate some of what I've learned into programming	6/30/2017 10:45 AM
2	Enhance my leadership programs	6/29/2017 1:28 PM
3	Share programs across states Consider new programming	6/29/2017 12:15 PM
4	Reach out and collaborate more with out of state colleagues	6/29/2017 10:32 AM
5	Work to set up a solution to the research issues I presented and work in conjunction with contacts that I made.	6/29/2017 8:38 AM
6	Develop a national initiative from the work I presented. Several interested colleagues.	6/28/2017 4:20 PM
7	I am summarizing my notes and prioritizing tools that I brought back. I will start using some this year. The most benefit for me was learning new tools.	6/28/2017 3:38 PM
8	write Journal article; prepare a couple of other papers; develop at least one new curriculum	6/28/2017 12:50 PM
9	Build a new program based on a session I attended. View a colleague in action to assess whether that program would fit our needs.	6/28/2017 11:55 AM
10	New ideas for evaluation of programs	6/28/2017 11:32 AM
11	I intend to look to reach professionals in the broader community development world. The work presented at the conference was far to standard and narrow. We need more breadth in our profession. We need ground-breaking ideas.	6/28/2017 11:28 AM
12	I provided me a new platform to present and network thus expanding my boundaries.	6/27/2017 9:26 PM
13	go to a future CDS conference	6/27/2017 5:42 PM
14	I tend to be more aggressive in seeking collaborations.	6/27/2017 3:25 PM
15	Reach out to colleagues in other states. Begin a "community food systems" quarterly call with extension educators in my state.	6/27/2017 1:22 PM
16	Collaborate on grant proposal; follow up with some colleagues to solicit more info	6/27/2017 12:31 PM
17	connect with some new colleagues - possibly partner in a grant proposal	6/27/2017 12:29 PM
18	Apply some techniques I saw	6/27/2017 12:16 PM
19	I came back with a few ideas that I will try to implement in my department	6/27/2017 11:59 AM
20	I intend to implement more research oriented work into my studies.	6/27/2017 11:49 AM
21	Reactivate my local foods efforts, but using new techniques.	6/27/2017 11:41 AM
22	- New tools and processes to involve students in my work. Opportunities for service-learning publications through the CDS Journal.	6/27/2017 11:38 AM
23	I intend to be more active in regional and national community food systems work.	6/27/2017 11:25 AM
24	Follow-up on the connections I made at the conference, as well as develop ways we can network with the audience, presenters, etc at the conference	6/27/2017 10:53 AM
25	I am a FCS professional and we have been recently combined with our Community Development Unit. I plan to collaborate more across unit lines.	6/27/2017 10:32 AM
26	I want to emphasize the importance of trust building throughout all phases of CD work.	6/27/2017 10:25 AM
27	Initiate a new board development program based on a model I learned about at NACDEP conference.	6/27/2017 10:24 AM
28	I intend to explore new areas of community development research	6/27/2017 10:13 AM
29	sharpen evaluation of programs	6/27/2017 10:09 AM

30	Implement new ideas for food access in my community.	6/27/2017 10:08 AM
31	understand my role as county extension director differently - I am a community developer	6/27/2017 9:55 AM
32	engage in community development in a broader context while integrating my health background and focus.	6/27/2017 9:32 AM
33	Provide a greater commitment to inclusion and diversity within my work, and aim to engage folks from populations that I haven't yet.	6/27/2017 9:16 AM
34	Continue to network with professionals that I met at the conference.	6/27/2017 9:12 AM
35	I Intend to understand better how our work in an NGO can intersect with the work of the Extension.	6/27/2017 9:11 AM
36	I was able to gather a couple of ideas however, my main goal is the operation of a college and found some program directed towards only urban community development.	6/27/2017 8:57 AM
37	Good chance to explore new possible programming directions, not sure if I will pursue anything new right now, but good ideas.	6/27/2017 8:52 AM
38	Use ripple effects mapping as a tool for evaluation. Be more creative in work. Connect with colleagues in CDS to explore professional support. Connection with UKY to support rights based work in Edinburgh.	6/27/2017 4:58 AM
39	I will be facilitating presentations on the value of immigrants in communities. I will improve the content of the book we are revising.	6/27/2017 2:35 AM
40	do my work!	6/27/2017 12:25 AM
41	Work with some new collaborators.	6/26/2017 11:46 PM
42	In the next twelve months, I will get my work ready to share with other conference participants.	6/26/2017 8:57 PM
43	change the way I plan for ripple effects mapping sessions	6/26/2017 8:56 PM
44	conference helped me make additional professional contacts that i hope to collaborate with in the next 12 months	6/26/2017 8:49 PM
45	Be more thoughtful in including community development as a pillar in my work	6/26/2017 8:39 PM
46	Apply new information and tools	6/26/2017 7:47 PM
47	nothing	6/26/2017 7:24 PM
48	Create working partnerships between various institutions	6/26/2017 7:17 PM
49	Use additional sources of information related to my field.	6/26/2017 7:09 PM
50	- work with passion - research	6/26/2017 7:07 PM
51	Incorporate business retention and expansion research into my BR&E program.	6/26/2017 7:02 PM
52	Connect more with my university's local extension professionals.	6/26/2017 6:09 PM
53	Refocus and do a better job inventorying available resources	6/26/2017 5:54 PM
54	I plan to collaborate on an Extension project with someone I met at the conference	6/26/2017 5:48 PM
55	refocus my work	6/26/2017 5:45 PM
56	not sure yet	6/26/2017 5:30 PM
57	Apply principles such as social mapping and ripple effect mapping, introduce concepts from other regions to my community	6/26/2017 5:16 PM
58	Revamping my direct marketing initiative based on concepts learned at the conference and on the local food tour.	6/26/2017 5:12 PM
59	Ripple mapping	6/26/2017 5:10 PM
60	Examine the potential value of CUPSO, Consortium of University Public Service Organizations, to my work and the work of my team.	6/26/2017 5:05 PM
61	Work with people who expressed interest in my or similar research.	6/26/2017 5:04 PM
62	explore more joint funding and work opportunities.	6/26/2017 5:02 PM
63	Read the notes I took thoroughly	6/26/2017 4:56 PM
64	I hope to use a model presented to bring community youth into the community development process.	6/26/2017 4:50 PM
65	make connections with others interested in the topics i'm working on.	6/26/2017 4:47 PM

66	Apply ripple effects mapping Utilize Strengthening Trust in Communities Resources Revamp Board Leadership Materials Partner with local ag rural leadership program	6/26/2017 4:47 PM
67	I do not intend to do anything differently in the next 12 months as a result of this conference.	6/26/2017 4:44 PM
68	Follow-up on potential multi-state collaborations.	6/26/2017 4:41 PM
69	Get more involved around the issue of public housing.	6/26/2017 4:40 PM
70	reaching out to colleagues for partnership and information	6/26/2017 4:39 PM
71	Implement a more systems approach with the local community and resource protection	6/26/2017 4:32 PM
72	Increase my knowledge of BR&E and apply what I learned at the conference with what new knowledge I gain to assist clients.	6/26/2017 4:29 PM
73	Give a try at Ripple Effects Mapping with a colleague.	6/26/2017 4:27 PM
74	More research	6/26/2017 4:23 PM
75	Your survey above isn't letting me select the same column for multiple rows so I'm going to say here that the biggest value I found was in making connections. Many of the sessions I sat in didn't feel like they were particularly relevant to my work nor did it really raise issues in my work that I wasn't familiar with. Not the best for me in terms of professional development needs, but gave me a chance to share some research I've been doing.	6/26/2017 4:23 PM
76	connect with others more often	6/26/2017 4:19 PM

Q3 How important to you were the following reasons in your decision to register for this conference?

Answered: 105 Skipped: 2




	Not at all important	Somewhat important	Important	Very Important	Total
Meeting Location	16.19% 17	27.62% 29	33.33% 35	22.86% 24	105
Conference Costs	10.48% 11	33.33% 35	37.14% 39	19.05% 20	105
Conference Theme	40.00% 42	34.29% 36	20.00% 21	5.71% 6	105
Opportunity to Present	15.38% 16	16.35% 17	24.04% 25	44.23% 46	104

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	i am new and had nothing to present yet but do look to present in future	6/30/2017 10:45 AM
2	Time of year. End of July tends to be harder for me to get away	6/29/2017 10:32 AM
3	Opportunity to be with practitioners, experienced people in the field and because there is a rural community focus	6/28/2017 3:38 PM
4	Quality of past conference	6/27/2017 3:36 PM
5	Meeting others/networking	6/27/2017 1:22 PM
6	wanted to network/connect with this audience as we haven't done that before	6/27/2017 10:53 AM
7	The joint conference was indeed a two-fer. NACDEP + CDS was a bonus.	6/27/2017 10:25 AM
8	several submeetings with collaborators and colleagues at conference: very important	6/27/2017 10:09 AM
9	NACDEP member and always attend the annual conference.	6/27/2017 10:08 AM
10	being on an award winning team - the university paid for my trip	6/27/2017 9:55 AM
11	Location, location, location!!	6/27/2017 9:32 AM
12	The cost was a huge factor, but we registered anyway.	6/27/2017 9:11 AM
13	CDS scholarship to attend conference.	6/27/2017 4:58 AM
14	We always attend CDS (as we attended NACDEP when with extension)	6/27/2017 2:35 AM
15	Board/Business Meetings	6/26/2017 10:15 PM
16	Networking with thought leaders	6/26/2017 8:39 PM
17	Would not recommend a joined conference ever again. Much too difficult for the planning committee due to different priorities and value systems.	6/26/2017 7:02 PM
18	Location was important in a negative way. 2017 was expensive.	6/26/2017 5:45 PM
19	meet up with colleagues	6/26/2017 5:30 PM
20	I am a new educator which is why I chose not important for opportunity to present. It is important!	6/26/2017 5:16 PM
21	support and engage in the work of NACDEP.	6/26/2017 5:05 PM
22	To launch my recent book in the USA to an American audience	6/26/2017 4:56 PM
23	Meeting with colleagues I used to work with in UWEX	6/26/2017 4:29 PM

Q4 Overall how would you rate this conference (5 stars being the best, 1 being the worst)?

Answered: 106 Skipped: 1



	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Weighted Average
★	0.00% 0	8.49% 9	16.98% 18	49.06% 52	25.47% 27	106	3.92

Q5 Please give ideas on how the conference could have been improved.

Answered: 68 Skipped: 39

#	Responses	Date
1	Schedule fewer sessions at a time so the ones that are offered are more full. I moderated a session where only 3 people showed up. Felt really bad for the presenters and all the work they did. Don't have breakfast so close to session start times on last day. Have microphones and clickers to advance slides in all rooms.	6/30/2017 10:45 AM
2	I did not enjoy how short the breakout sessions were. Maybe more varying times or some discussion based breakouts	6/29/2017 10:32 AM
3	Back up for tours when the weather is bad.	6/28/2017 4:20 PM
4	It was great. Although we wanted more time with some of the presenters. It was great to get so many. Keep that format	6/28/2017 3:38 PM
5	While I understand the draw of high amenity areas, the reality of budgets has to be taken into consideration. I know of numerous colleagues that would have attended if the registration rate, transportation and hotel costs hadn't been so high. This needs to be a serious consideration for future locations.	6/28/2017 12:50 PM
6	Some sessions I attended seemed very "elementary" and I wondered how they were selected. It seemed like an older topic that didn't need to be covered again. Others were VERY research-oriented, which I'm sure was due to the CDS merger.	6/28/2017 11:55 AM
7	Wonderful conference! Perfect mix of networking, learning and fun! One of the best conferences I've attended. Thank you!	6/28/2017 11:32 AM
8	Superficially, the conference food was not that good. More depth, we really need to be reaching broader and younger audiences with our conferences. This conference posed a cost and location challenge for many. The location was beautiful and nice, but we really need to be more accessible.	6/28/2017 11:28 AM
9	More workshops that are presenting programs that work in communities. Ways to make impact in a community through collaboration. Federal resources, who to contact and how they have worked successfully. Multi-state collaborations and how I might be able to join in, etc.	6/28/2017 10:35 AM
10	back up trips in case of inclement weather	6/27/2017 5:42 PM
11	More "down time" for those in both organizations during joint conferences.	6/27/2017 3:25 PM
12	The location needs to be more accessible. Transportation was expensive, particularly the long shuttle ride from/to the airport.	6/27/2017 1:51 PM
13	Serving and highlighting local and seasonal food at the conference. Hot breakfasts and coffee/tea stations throughout the conference facility.	6/27/2017 1:22 PM
14	Screen the personal opinion of the SDSU man so his personal prejudiced views of Tribal social and environmental issues are not his focus in his presentations. He actually described the trafficking of women and children to North Dakota as prostitution and further described prostitution as the oldest profession. He did follow up with a statement that said the women and children are being forced or trafficked. Too late, he was so insulting to tribal organizations and who knows who else. Overall I was disappointed in being one of a handful of TCU representatives. I expect you could do better if you really wanted to. I have never been to a conference where I was asked so many times what and where are the Tribal Colleges. The plenary speakers for Standing Rock should have been tribal government representatives and band members of Standing Rock. Those women should have declined your request to speak out of respect for the Standing Rock tribe. I like Supaman though, I gave him a gift for his time and knowledge.	6/27/2017 12:57 PM
15	Question period with each speaker rather than saving all until end during concurrents. If speaker runs over time, no questions allowed for that presenter. Business meeting or other programmatic sessions starting no earlier than 8:00 a.m. Do away with Ignite voting. Not sure of the point. FYI, Opening and ending general session speakers were excellent.	6/27/2017 12:31 PM
16	there were opportunities for more pre-conf workshops - their should have been more options	6/27/2017 12:29 PM
17	I think the time should be better allocated so we did Do not have so many sessions at the same time. I felt like I missed a lot! I think those whole afternoon activities (Breweries, Yellowstone visit, etc) took a lot of our time. Those things should be left for the last day, as an option for those really interested in the theme.	6/27/2017 11:59 AM

18	It was unfortunate that there were few students that attended and that there were limited opportunities to connect with them. It was also very confusing to figure out the logistics of the conference (location, meeting rooms, registration, etc.).	6/27/2017 11:49 AM
19	Monday felt a little packed, but it all worked! Loved the conference!	6/27/2017 11:41 AM
20	I spoke in the Community Engagement section of the conference and was disappointed to see that all of the other Engagement presentations were slotted for the same time. Having these (and others - Food, Publications, etc) spread evenly across the conference schedule would have been far more preferable vs. packaging them all together. I heard this concern expressed by several other people as well who needed to "session hop" to hear key speakers across each segment.	6/27/2017 11:38 AM
21	I really, really, really appreciate that the keynote speakers were diverse. It is so important to hear diverse voices, experiences, and approaches to community development. Honestly, it was refreshing that we didn't hear about or from a Caucasian man for the keynotes.	6/27/2017 11:25 AM
22	1. Have the tables of vendors and the Poster sessions in a location where people walk-thru a lot, and not in a different building than the keynotes and session. 2. In regards to the Ignite Talks, please DO NOT EVER show the outcome of the voting publicly as it was humiliating to the presenter who scored the lowest. She was very shook up even as she boarded the plane that night. Others came up to her and told her how they really liked her Ignite talk, and asked for her business card. Only being able to vote for ONE, and all 3 were totally different in content, she should not have had to be humiliated in front of the audience. (also....did you notice that her slides also advanced more quickly than the others- timing was off)	6/27/2017 10:53 AM
23	Very expensive to fly to Bozeman, drive to Big Sky, then stay at lodge. More convenient if conference is IN city with good, cheap air access.	6/27/2017 10:24 AM
24	International delegates will need adequate information on weather and the like. Without being prompted to do so, it will be adequate for conference organizers to write formal letter of invitation for international delegates (particularly those that have had their presentations accepted) for the purpose of visa application. International delegates from the South should also be always given a fair discount on conference registration fee because the US dollar is generally too strong when compared with most developing world currencies.	6/27/2017 10:13 AM
25	Communication about meetings of committees at breakfast, etc. differed or were not existant. The online Sched should have included these for sure.	6/27/2017 10:09 AM
26	Allow for proposals that encourage different thinking that aren't yet backed by research for the purpose of spawning collaboration. Your proposals are based on topics that have already happened.	6/27/2017 9:53 AM
27	more opportunities for networking. It may be helpful to have tracks or groups within the conference as a whole, emphasis areas, if you will. Similar to how APHA does for health. While the conference is huge, we have different groups like community health, school health, child health, health education etc. It may provide better networking opportunities for people.	6/27/2017 9:32 AM
28	I think that limiting the presentations within the breakout sessions to three would have fit better within the time frame (and was what we were originally told).	6/27/2017 9:16 AM
29	There were a lot of Extention professionals and not as many CD representatives from NGO's or smaller organizations there which would have been more relevant to our work. Really liked the session where we got to understand the place we were in a little bit more, but a roundtable discussion on what other people learned would have been nice- also the session just before was poorly attended because the timing of the trips was varied.	6/27/2017 9:11 AM
30	It was a good conference but I was not aware that this conference focused solely on community development professionals.	6/27/2017 8:57 AM
31	4 speaker sets per 90 minute session is not effective for the listener or presenter. Felt like a race and was hard to comprehend 4 topics. 2-3 at most. I would find a way to squeeze in one more concurrent time slot. Folks could then choose to go or not go to 100% of the concurrents offered, but the whole conference would offer more quality learning.	6/27/2017 8:52 AM
32	The structure of the conference feels a little stale. Very short sessions were not effective and there was not enough time for networking or downtime. What about open space discussions?	6/27/2017 8:24 AM
33	I would have I liked more specific feedback from abstract reviewers about how my abstract could be improved, instead of saying "needs improvement". That left me wondering how to improve. I would have liked more participative input at the sessions. Some of the sessions had too many presentations included which were rushed, and felt a bit like "death my PowerPoint" with little time for group discussion / reflection about the content or how participants might use the learning in work or create partnerships on themes. Other than those specifics, you put on a fantastic conference which was impressively organised with precision and ran like clockwork. Wide range of themes, phenomenal morning plenaries, compact and stunning venue. Thanks for the tremendous effort in organising.	6/27/2017 4:58 AM

34	There were many discussions on the Latino and Hispanic population. However, most of the underserved and immigrant population were not represented. In the similar vein, there is a need to take care of different dietary needs of people.	6/27/2017 12:25 AM
35	Two main problems: cost and remoteness. The conference venue was expensive to get to, and it was expensive to stay/eat/drink there. This may price out key professionals in our field with more constrained budgets, reducing the organization's accessibility. Second, the limited number of flights to BZN was a major issue. Due to it's niche tourism status, flights were very expensive for most attendees. I myself had to miss a full day because of a flight delay due to weather, because there were no additional flights available. Holding the conference at an airline hub location (or diversified airport) would be beneficial.	6/26/2017 10:15 PM
36	Use terms other than "non-member" to denote our the people that are a part of the conference, but not a full member of either org. Consider "potential member".	6/26/2017 8:56 PM
37	location was great once you got to big sky, but expense of getting to the conference probably limited the attendance for some	6/26/2017 8:49 PM
38	This location felt a bit isolated, but it was a wonderful opportunity to explore Montana and Yellowstone.	6/26/2017 8:39 PM
39	Location was not so easy to get	6/26/2017 7:47 PM
40	must be ada compliant facility	6/26/2017 7:24 PM
41	Unfortunately, although beautiful, the location was inaccessible for many participants. The cost of travel alone was astronomically high, in addition to high registration fees. Unless presenting it is difficult to justify that kind of cost	6/26/2017 7:17 PM
42	Too much Native American influence. Almost all the key note speakers were Native Americans.	6/26/2017 7:09 PM
43	It was overwhelmingly dominated by mainstream scholars and practioners	6/26/2017 7:07 PM
44	Panals need to be balanced and based on fact and science and not opinions, rumors, personal beliefs, etc. Last speaker, ignites and NACDEP breakouts were excellent.	6/26/2017 7:02 PM
45	No ideas currently. Well done!	6/26/2017 6:18 PM
46	Although beautiful, the location was a logistical challenge. The presentations tended to be too basic--very community development 101. For example, the plenary sessions on Monday and Tuesday were interesting, but not helpful. There was a lack of concrete connection to community development work and practice. They were too superficial to be useful. Other than opening the door to youth, how does rap encourage and help sustain engagement? How is the energy from Standing Rock leveraged to create larger, longer-term changes? These are examples of deeper questions that could have been discussed.	6/26/2017 6:10 PM
47	It was a excellent event. I enjoyed the resort location as it kept folks together, which increased networking. Dinner options were limited however. CDS has had fantastic entertainment over the past few years, I look forward to Detroit Rock City!	6/26/2017 6:09 PM
48	1. Better orientation to the site and locations of various events. 2. Better preparation from registration staff on where to direct people & accommodate unexpected requests without disrupting the program (e.g. someone asked for a room to meet with people and they took over a room that was already booked). 3. A second person at registration to help out.	6/26/2017 5:30 PM
49	It was spread out (a tighter venue would help find things) also, poster time seemed slighted - a more clearly defined poster time would be good. ALSO what do you think of holding the awards banquet as the LAST luncheon? It would hold many of your audience through the end of the conference.	6/26/2017 5:29 PM
50	There was confusion as to where meals were served at first -- leaving buildings was a cause of confusion. I enjoyed mobile workshop, would always like information on local heroes/celebrities (like Warren Miller) and local success stories in Extension. Clear marking of room locations if at all ambiguous. More then 15 minutes to check out between sessions -- I missed the beginning of my session on the last day because it took a while to check out.	6/26/2017 5:16 PM
51	The location was BEAUTIFUL! The people were warm and helpful. The rooms were dirty and needed a deep-cleaning. The conference food was poor. The keynote speakers ALL had an AGENDA! Not impressed with the water protestors! Where were the pictures of the TRASH they LEFT? Environment protectors-NOT, LIARS. Will not be to another NACDEP if this is the quality and slant to your conference.	6/26/2017 5:10 PM
52	Avoid plenary speakers with clearly biased and limited perspectives such as the "Standing Rock" presenters. The speakers were "okay" and "passionate" but limited. It would have been much better. Try to eliminate concurrent session presenters who come with a personal agenda and perspective rather than an unbiased Extension/Research presentation. At her presentation, Lori Garkovich admitted that her "Stop the Fracking Pipelines..." presentation was her activist citizen perspective, not her unbiased, Extension perspective. We should not have this type of presentation at professional conferences regardless of whether we agree or disagree with it personally.	6/26/2017 5:05 PM
53	Too many plenary sessions, too few concurrent sessions.	6/26/2017 5:04 PM

54	Fewer plenary sessions and more concurrent sessions.	6/26/2017 5:01 PM
55	the food was terrible...and cold!	6/26/2017 4:59 PM
56	More rigour in selecting out weaker contributions so that there was more time for presentations, and, particularly, discussions afterwards. This is VITAL for the future	6/26/2017 4:56 PM
57	I must say that I was very disappointed with the plenary session in regards to the Dakota Access Pipeline. The emphasis was very much on the side of how community development professionals can support a movement such as this and not at all on whether or not as professionals (mostly from academia) we should even be involved. The information presented was extremely one sided and I was ashamed that the emphasis was not on fact-gathering and forming educated/unbiased opinions but rather on supporting "the movement".	6/26/2017 4:50 PM
58	awards banquet was way too long, and repeated information from the booklet about each winner. The conference program, especially with the two-page summary in the center, was very useful.	6/26/2017 4:47 PM
59	Maybe offer a couple of 1/2 day pre-conference seminars	6/26/2017 4:47 PM
60	Greater opportunities to attend more presentations. So many concurrent presentations at any one time and so few times for concurrent sessions (5 total).	6/26/2017 4:44 PM
61	I did not care for the breakfasts at this year's conference. I appreciated my session's coordinator passed around a paper and asked people if they wanted a copy of my presentation. That allowed me to network with the people in the session after the conference.	6/26/2017 4:44 PM
62	Excellent conference. Very well organized. It may have been helpful to include an itinerary for the workshops on the move.	6/26/2017 4:41 PM
63	None that I can think of off hand.	6/26/2017 4:40 PM
64	none for now	6/26/2017 4:39 PM
65	It was very relaxing but I think the CDS should have a separate conference	6/26/2017 4:32 PM
66	All speakers should have mikes. Some times it was difficult to hear speakers even when sitting up front.	6/26/2017 4:29 PM
67	The best part of the conference for me was seeing the progressive stance taken in the speakers selected as keynotes (namely, a person who was an advocate for Black Lives Matter and two speakers who were clearly pro-Standing Rock and the water protectors that were part of the effort). It could have been further improved for me through a stronger vetting process for presentations - so many that I saw didn't feel very rigorous academically and those that were weren't particularly germane to my interests. This might have been the randomness of having breakout session presentations clumped together but that really didn't work for me. Rather than having lots of presentations of scattershot quality, I'd rather have fewer, longer presentations of very high quality.	6/26/2017 4:23 PM
68	Tie together with blogs, website themes, etc. to build up excitement. Have a conference volume in the journal, etc.	6/26/2017 4:19 PM